arXiv:1407.7944v1 [math.CA] 30 Jul 2014

ANALYTIC NORMALIZATION OF ANALYTICALLY INTEGRABLE DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS NEAR A PERIODIC ORBIT

KESHENG WU AND XIANG ZHANG

Abstract. For an analytic differential system in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a periodic orbit, we will prove that if the system is analytically integrable around the periodic orbit, i.e. it has $n-1$ functionally independent analytic first integrals defined in a neighborhood of the periodic orbit, then the system is analytically equivalent to its Poincaré–Dulac type normal form. This result is an extension for analytic integrable differential systems around a singularity to the ones around a periodic orbit.

1. Introduction and statement of the main results

Normal form theory has been playing key roles in the study of dynamics for ordinary differential equations and dynamical systems (smooth and discrete ones). Because of its importance, it has been extensively studied, see for instance [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 29, 30] and the references therein. In the normal form theory, one of the main topics is to study the existence of analytic normalization for an analytic dynamical system to its normal form. In this direction there are lots of well known results, which involved the works of Poincaré [16, 17], Siegel [2], Bruno [6], Ilyashenko [12] and so on.

Here we mainly concern the analytically integrable systems. Along this direction Zung [30] showed via torus action that any analytic vector field which is analytic integrable in a neighborhood of the origin in the non-Hamiltonian sense admits a convergent Poincaré–Dulac normalization. Zhang [27] presented a similar result using analytic methods and provided the explicit expression of the normal form, which was not

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A34, 34C20, 34C41, 37G05.

Key words and phrases. Analytic differential systems; analytically integrable; period orbit; normal form; analytic normalization.

The second author is partially supported by NNSF of China grant 11271252, and RFDP of Higher Education of China grant 20110073110054. Both authors are also supported by FP7-PEOPLE-2012-IRSES-316338 of Europe.

obtained in [30]. Furthermore Zhang [28] extended the results in [27] to degenerate cases and also obtained a result on analytically integrable diffeomorphisms around a fixed point. On the existence of analytic normalization of analytically integrable differential systems, we refer the readers to [14], too.

About the integrability and normalization of a differential system near a periodic orbit, Yakovenko [25] studied the existence of C^∞ normalization of a planar C^∞ differential system near a periodic orbit to a very simpler normal form. Peralta–Salas [18] presented a characterization between integrability and normalizers of smooth vector fields in a region fulled up with periodic orbits. But as our knowledge it is unsolved that the problem on whether an analytically integrable differential systems around a periodic orbit is analytically equivalent to its Poincaré–Dulac normal form.

Consider the analytic differential system

$$\dot{x} = f(x), \qquad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

where the dot denotes the derivative with respect to the time t, Ω is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $f(x) \in C^\omega(\Omega)$. Here $C^\omega(\Omega)$ denotes the ring of analytic functions defined in Ω. Assume that system (1.1) has a periodic orbit, saying Γ, located in the region Ω.

We say that system (1.1) is *analytically integrable in a neighborhood of* Γ, if it has $n-1$ functionally independent analytic first integrals defined in the neighborhood of Γ. Here we say that $k > 1$ first integrals defined in $D \subset \Omega$ are *functionally independent* if the gradients of the k first integrals have rank k in a full Lebesgue measure subset of D. A nonconstant function $H(x)$ is a *first integral* of system (1.1) in D if along any orbit located in D of system (1.1), the function H takes a constant value.

Let $x = \varphi(t)$ be an expression of Γ with period T. Since system (1.1) is analytic, the periodic solution $\phi(t)$ is also analytic on $\mathbb{R}$. Taking the transformation $X = x - \varphi(t)$, system (1.1) becomes

$$\dot{X} = f(X + \varphi(t)) - f(\varphi(t)). \tag{1.2}$$

It can be written in the form

$$\dot{X} = A(t)X + g(X,t), \qquad g(X,t) = O(|X|^2), \tag{1.3}$$

with $A(t)$ analytic and periodic in t of period T, and $g(X,t)$ analytic in X and t and periodic in t of period T.

By the Floquet theory [10], there is a change of coordinates $X = Q(t)Y$ with $Q(t)$ invertible, analytic and periodic of period T, under

which system (1.3) is transformed to

$$\dot{Y} = AY + h(Y,t), \tag{1.4}$$

where A is a constant matrix (real or complex), and $h = O(|Y|^2)$ is analytic in its variables and periodic in t of period T. Thus we reduce system (1.1) near the periodic orbit Γ to system (1.4) with the constant solution $Y = 0$. It is well known that the constant matrix A has a zero eigenvalue with its characteristic direction tangent to the periodic orbit Γ. In what follows, for convenience we still use variable x to replace Y in (1.4).

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ be the n–tuple of eigenvalues of A. For the analytic function $h(x,t)$ periodic in t, expanding it in Taylor series in x and Fourier series in t as follows

$$h(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{l,k,j} x^l e^{\mathbf{i}kt} e_j,$$

where $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the set of nonnegative integers, e_j is the unit vector with its jth component equal to 1, and $x^l = x_1^{l_1} \dots x_n^{l_n}$. We call the pseudomonomial $x^l e^{\mathbf{i}kt} e_j$ in the jth component of $h(x,t)$ *resonant* if

$$\mathbf{i}k + \langle l, \lambda \rangle - \lambda_j = 0,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product of two n–dimensional vectors.

System (1.4) is in the *Poincaré–Dulac normal fom* if $h(x,t)$ contains resonant pseudomonomials only. We should say that the Poincaré–Dulac normal form defined here is an extended version of the classical one for autonomous differential systems.

System (1.4) is *formally equivalent to its Poincaré–Dulac normal form* if there exists a tangent to identity transformation of the form

$$x = y + \Phi(y,t), \tag{1.5}$$

with $\Phi(y,t) = O(|y|^2)$ a formal series in y and periodic in t, which transforms (1.4) to a system

$$\dot{y} = Ay + G(y,t), \tag{1.6}$$

which is in the Poincaré–Dulac normal form. Furthermore,

- If the transformation (1.5) is analytic, we say that system (1.4) is *analytically equivalent* to its Poincaré–Dulac normal form.
- If $\Phi(y,t)$ in the transformation (1.5) contains only non–resonant pseudomonomials, i.e $x^l e^{\mathbf{i}kt} e_j$ in $\Phi(y,t)$ satisfies $\mathbf{i}k + \langle l, \lambda \rangle \neq 0$, we say that system (1.4) is analytically equivalent to its *distinguished normal form*. The transformation (1.5) is called *distinguished normalization*.

We should mention the difference between the resonances of the pseudomonomials in a vector field and in a transformation (or a function).

Now we can state our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that system* (1.1) *is analytic and has a periodic orbit. If system* (1.1) *is analytically integrable in a neighborhood of the periodic orbit, then the system is analytically equivalent to its distinguished normal form in a neighborhood of the periodic orbit.*

Theorem 1.1 will be proved in the next section.

We should mention that Theorem 1.1 is an extension of the results given in [16, 17, 14, 27, 28, 30] for local analytically integrable differential systems around a singularity to the ones around a periodic orbit. As shown in [27, 28] for analytic integrable differential systems (1.1) around a singularity S we must have that the Jordan normal form of $\partial_x f(S)$ is diagonal, where $\partial_x f(S)$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of f at S. Here in general we do not know whether the Jordan normal form of A in (1.4) is diagonal. And so it increases the difficulty in the proof of the convergence of the normalization from system (1.4) to its distinguished normal form.

Next result exhibits the properties of the characteristic exponents of periodic orbits of analytically integrable differential system.

Corollary 1.2. *If the analytic differential system* (1.1) *has* $n-1$ *functionally independent analytic or formal first integrals around a periodic orbit, then the characteristic exponents of the periodic orbit satisfy*

$$\lambda_1 = \nu_1 \mathbf{i}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1} = \nu_{n-1}\mathbf{i}, \lambda_n = \nu_n \mathbf{i}, \quad \nu_j \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

The proof of Corollary 1.2 can be obtained as an easy consequence of the proof of Lemma 2.4. The details will be omitted.

This last result presents a new phenomena on the characteristic exponents of a periodic orbit for an analytically integrable differential system. Recall that a *characteristic multiplier* of the periodic orbit Γ of system (1.1) is by definition the eigenvalues of the monodromy operator of the linear part, i.e. $\dot{x} = A(t)x$, of system (1.3). A number μ is a *characteristic exponent* of the periodic orbit Γ if $e^{\mu T}$ is a characteristic multiplier, where T is the period of Γ. We must say that if μ is a characteristic exponent, then $\mu + 2m\pi\mathbf{i}/T$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, are also characteristic exponents. Here we do not consider these later exponents. In fact, here the characteristic exponents are the eigenvalues of the constant matrix A in (2.1).

The remaining part is the proof of our main results.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we first prove the existence of distinguished formal normal form of system (1.1).

2.1. **Distinguished formal normal form.** As we described in Section 1, system (1.1) near the periodic orbit $\Gamma : x = \varphi(t)$ with period T can be reduced by an invertible analytic change of variables to the normal form system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + F(x,t), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.1}$$

where the constant matrix A has a zero eigenvalue and $F(x,t) = O(|x|^2)$ is analytic and periodic in t.

Lemma 2.1. *The analytic periodic differential system* (2.1) *is formally equivalent to its distinguished normal form.*

Proof. Assume that system (2.1) is transformed to

$$\dot{y} = Ay + G(y,t) \tag{2.2}$$

via the transformation

$$x = y + \Phi(y,t), \tag{2.3}$$

where $G(y,t)$ and $\Phi(y,t)$ are formal series in y without constant and linear terms and periodic in t of period T. Then $\Phi(y,t)$ and $G(y,t)$ satisfy the equations

$$\partial_t \Phi + \langle \partial_y \Phi, Ay \rangle - A\Phi = F(y+\Phi,t) - \langle \partial_y \Phi, G \rangle - G, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\partial_t \Phi$ denotes the partial derivative of Φ with respect to t, and $\partial_y \Phi$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of Φ with respect to y.

Expanding F, G, Φ in Taylor series in y

$$H(y,t) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} H_j(y,t), \qquad H \in \{F, G, \Phi\},$$

where H_j are homogeneous polynomials in y of degree j with coefficients periodic functions in t of period T. Substituting these expansions in (2.4) and equating the homogeneous terms in y with the same degree gives

$$\partial_t \Phi_s + \langle \partial_y \Phi_s, Ay \rangle - A\Phi_s = [F]_s - \sum_{j=2}^{s-1} \partial_y \Phi_j G_{s+1-j} - G_s, \tag{2.5}$$

where $[F]_s$ are inductively known vector–valued homogeneous polynomial in y of degree s obtained after re–expanding $F\left(y+\sum_{j=2}^{s-1}\Phi_j(y,t),t\right)$ in the power series of y.

Set

$$W_s(y,t)=[F]_s-\sum_{j=2}^{s-1}\partial_y\Phi_j G_{s+1-j}. \tag{2.6}$$

Clearly W_s are inductively known. Expanding the coefficients of V_s, $V\in\{\Phi,G,W\}$, in the Fourier series of t, we get

$$V_s(y,t)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}V_s^k(y)e^{\mathbf{i}kt}.$$

For studying the existence of solutions of (2.5), we need the following result, which is due to Bibikov [4].

Lemma 2.2. *Denote by $\mathcal{G}^r(\mathbb{F})$, $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, the linear space of n-dimensional vector–valued homogeneous polynomials of degree r in n variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$. Let A and B be two square matrices with entries in $\mathbb{F}$, and their n–tuples of eigenvalues be λ and κ, respectively. Defining an operator L^* on $\mathcal{G}^r(\mathbb{F})$ by*

$$L^*h=\langle\partial_x h,Ax\rangle-Bh,\qquad\qquad h\in\mathcal{G}^r(\mathbb{F}).$$

Then the spectrum of the operator L^* is

$$\sigma(L^*):=\{\langle l,\lambda\rangle-\kappa_j;\ l\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,|l|=r,j=1,...,n\}.$$

Set $\mathcal{G}^s(\mathbb{F},t)$ be the $\mathbb{F}$–linear space spanned by the base

$$\left\{x^l e^{\mathbf{i}kt}e_j;\ \ l\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,\ |l|=s,\ k\in\mathbb{Z},\ j=1,\dots,n\right\}.$$

Defining a linear operator on $\mathcal{G}^s(\mathbb{F},t)$ by

$$L:=\partial_t+\langle\partial_y,Ay\rangle-A.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that the spectrum of L in $\mathcal{G}^s(\mathbb{F},t)$ is

$$\{\mathbf{i}k+\langle l,\lambda\rangle-\lambda_j;\ k\in\mathbb{Z},l\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,|l|=s,j=1,...,n\}.$$

Separate $\mathcal{G}^s(\mathbb{F},t)=\mathcal{G}_0^s(\mathbb{F},t)\oplus\mathcal{G}_1^s(\mathbb{F},t)$ in such a way that $\mathcal{G}_1^s(\mathbb{F},t)$ is formed by non–resonant pseudomonomials and $\mathcal{G}_0^s(\mathbb{F},t)$ is formed by resonant pseudomonomials. Clearly L is invertible on $\mathcal{G}_1^s(\mathbb{F},t)$ and $L(\mathcal{G}_0^s(\mathbb{F},t))\subset\mathcal{G}_0^s(\mathbb{F},t)$. Of course, if A is diagonal then $L|_{\mathcal{G}_0^s(\mathbb{F},t)}=0$.

According to the decomposition of $\mathcal{G}^s(\mathbb{F},t)$, we separate the right–hand side of (2.5) in two parts with one component resonant and another one nonresonant. Then equation (2.5) can be written in two

equations

$$L\Phi_{s,r} = W_{s,r} - G_{s,r}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$L\Phi_{s,nr} = W_{s,nr} - G_{s,nr}, \tag{2.8}$$

where r and nr in the subscription denote the resonant and nonresonant terms, and $V_s = V_{s,nr} + V_{s,r}$, $V \in \{\Phi, G, W\}$ with W_s defined in (2.6),

For equation (2.7) we choose

$$G_{s,r} = W_{s,r}.$$

Then the equation has always the solution $\Phi_{s,r} = 0$.

For equation (2.8) the operator L is invertible in $\mathcal{G}_1^s(\mathbb{F}, t)$, so for any $G_{s,nr} \in \mathcal{G}_1^s(\mathbb{F}, t)$ equation (2.8) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{G}_1^s(\mathbb{F}, t)$. We set $G_{s,nr} = 0$, equation (2.8) has the unique solution

$$\Phi_{s,nr} = L^{-1}(W_{s,nr}).$$

Clearly $\Phi_{s,nr} \in \mathcal{G}_1^s(\mathbb{F}, t)$.

From the above construction, we get that

$$\Phi(y, t) = \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{s,nr}(y, t),$$

consists of only nonresonant pseudomonomials. So the formal normalization $x = y + \Phi(y, t)$ is distinguished, and the distinguished formal normal form is of the form

$$\dot{y} = Ay + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} G_{s,r}(y, t).$$

This proves that system (2.1) is formally equivalent to its distinguished normal form. We complete the proof of the lemma. □

Next we will study the relation between the first integrals of the original system (2.1) and of the distinguished normal form system (2.2). Furthermore we will present some properties on the resonance of eigenvalues of A when system (1.1) is analytically integrable in a neighborhood of the periodic orbit Γ.

2.2. **First integrals and resonances.** In this subsection, we first study the structure of first integrals for the distinguished normal form systems of system (1.1) with first integrals.

Recall that a pseudomonomial $y^l e^{\mathbf{i}kt}$ in a (vector–valued) function is *resonant* if

$$\mathbf{i}k + \langle \lambda, l \rangle = 0,$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ are the n–tuple of eigenvalues of the matrix A. A periodic function $W(y,t)$ is *resonant* if its expansion

$$W(y,t) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{l,k} x^l e^{\mathbf{i}kt},$$

has all its pseudomonomials resonant.

The next result characterizes the first integrals of a distinguished normal form system of system (2.1) with first integrals around the trivial solution $x = 0$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that system* (2.1) *has an analytic or a formal first integral* $H(x,t)$ *which is periodic in* t *of period* T *and that system* (2.2) *is the distinguished normal form of* (2.1) *under the normalization* (2.3). *The following statements hold.*

(*a*) $\tilde{H}(y,t) := H(y + \Phi(y,t), t)$ *is a first integral of* (2.2).
(*b*) $\tilde{H}(y,t)$ *is resonant.*

Proof. (*a*) From the assumption, we know that the first integral $H(x,t)$ of system (2.1) satisfies

$$\partial_t H(x,t) + \langle \partial_x H(x,t), Ax + F(x,t) \rangle = 0.$$

Substituting $x = y + \Phi(y,t)$ to this last equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t H(y + \Phi(y,t), t) + \langle \partial_x H(y + \Phi(y,t), t), Ay \rangle \\ &\quad = -\langle \partial_x H(y + \Phi(y,t), t), A\Phi(y,t) + F(y + \Phi(y,t), t) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, some direct calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{H}(y,t) &= \partial_t H(y + \Phi(y,t), t) + \partial_x H(y + \Phi(y,t), t) \partial_t \Phi(y,t), \\ \partial_y \tilde{H}(y,t) &= \partial_x H(y + \Phi(y,t), t)(E + \partial_y \Phi), \end{aligned}$$

where E is the nth order unit matrix.

Using these equalities, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{H}(y,t) &+ \langle \partial_y \tilde{H}(y,t), Ay + G \rangle \\ &= \partial_t H(y + \Phi, t) + \partial_x H(y + \Phi, t) \partial_t \Phi \\ &\quad + \langle \partial_x H(y + \Phi, t)(1 + \partial_y \Phi), Ay + G \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial_x H(y + \Phi, t), -A\Phi - F(y + \Phi, t) \\ &\qquad + \partial_t \Phi + G + \partial_y \Phi (Ay + G) \rangle \equiv 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where in the last equality we have used (2.4), i.e. the transformation Φ from (2.1) to its distinguished normal form (2.2) satisfies the equality

$$\partial_t \Phi + \langle \partial_y \Phi, Ay \rangle - A\Phi = F(y + \Phi, t) - \langle \partial_y \Phi, G \rangle - G.$$

This proves that $\tilde{H}(y,t)$ is a first integral of system (2.2). So the statement holds.

(b) Write

$$\tilde{H}(y,t)=\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\tilde{H}_k(y,t), \tag{2.10}$$

with $l\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\tilde{H}_k(y,t)\in\mathcal{G}^k(\mathbb{F},t)$, $k=l,l+1,\ldots$ Since $\tilde{H}(y,t)$ is a first integral of system (2.2) by statement (a), it follows that

$$\partial_t\tilde{H}_l+\langle\partial_y\tilde{H}_l,Ay\rangle=0. \tag{2.11}$$

We define a new linear operator on $\mathcal{G}^k(\mathbb{F},t)$

$$\tilde{L}:=\partial_t+\langle\partial_y,Ay\rangle.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that the spectrum of $\tilde{L}$ is

$$\{\mathbf{i}k+\langle\lambda,s\rangle;\ s\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,|s|=l,k\in\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Working in a similar way as that in the last subsection we can prove that equation (2.11) has only solutions $\tilde{H}_l$ which consist of resonant pseudomonomials.

Now we will use the induction to prove that all $\tilde{H}_k$, $k=l+1,l+2,\ldots$, are resonant. By induction we assume that for any given $m>l$, $\tilde{H}_j$, $j=l,...,m-1$, are all resonant.

By the expansion (2.10) of the first integral $\tilde{H}(y,t)$, some easy computations show that

$$\tilde{L}(\tilde{H}_m(y,t))+\sum_{j=2}^{m}\left\langle\partial_y\tilde{H}_{m+1-j}(y,t),G_j(y,t)\right\rangle=0, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\tilde{H}_s=0$ for $s<l$. We note that G_j and $\tilde{H}_{m+1-j}$ are resonant homogeneous polynomials in y in a vector field and in a function, respectively. Then some further calculations show that all the terms in the last summation of (2.12) are resonant as a function. So we get from the spectrum of $\tilde{L}$ that the solution $\tilde{H}_m(y,t)$ of equation (2.12) consists of resonant pseudomonomials only. By induction we have proved statement (b) and consequently the lemma. □

Next we will study the properties of the nonresonant eigenvalues of A for analytically integrable system (2.1), which is a key point in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 2.4. *If system* (2.2) *has* $n-1$ *functionally independent analytic or formal first integrals, then there exists* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that for all* $\mathbf{i}k + \langle m, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_i \neq 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |m| \geq 2$, *we have*

$$|\mathbf{i}k + \langle m, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_i| > \epsilon.$$

Proof. Recall that the constant matrix A in (2.2) has a zero eigenvalue, which was prescribed in Section 1. Without loss of generality we set $\lambda_n = 0$. By Theorem 1.1 of [8], we know that the number of functionally independent analytic or formal first integrals of system (2.2) is less than or equal to R_λ, where R_λ is the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}$–linear space spanned by

$$\left\{(k,l);\ \mathbf{i}k + \langle \lambda, l\rangle = 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\right\},$$

Recall that $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ is the n–tuple of eigenvalues of A. By Lemma 2.3 and the assumption of this lemma we get that $R_\lambda \geq n-1$. On the other hand, we have clearly $R_\lambda \leq n$.
Case 1. $R_\lambda = n-1$. Then there are $n-1$ linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, saying

$$(p_1, m_{1,1}, ..., m_{1,n}),\ (p_2, m_{2,1},\ ...,\ m_{2,n}),\ ...,\ (p_{n-1},\ m_{n-1,1},\ ...,\ m_{n-1,n}),$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{i}p_1 + m_{1,1}\lambda_1 + ... + m_{1,n-1}\lambda_{n-1} &= 0,\\
\mathbf{i}p_2 + m_{2,1}\lambda_1 + ... + m_{2,n-1}\lambda_{n-1} &= 0,\\
&\vdots\\
\mathbf{i}p_{n-1} + m_{n-1,1}\lambda_1 + ... + m_{n-1,n-1}\lambda_{n-1} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we just need to consider the following two cases:

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & ... & m_{1,n-1}\\ m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & ... & m_{2,n-1}\\ ... & ... & ... & ...\\ m_{n-1,1} & m_{n-1,2} & ... & m_{n-1,n-1}\end{pmatrix} \neq 0, \tag{2.13}$$

or

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & m_{1,2} & ... & m_{1,n-2}\\ p_2 & m_{2,2} & ... & m_{2,n-2}\\ ... & ... & ... & ...\\ p_{n-1} & m_{n-1,2} & ... & m_{n-1,n-2}\end{pmatrix} \neq 0. \tag{2.14}$$

In Case (2.13), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1\\ \vdots\\ \lambda_{n-1}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & ... & m_{1,n-1}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ m_{n-1,1} & ... & m_{n-1,n-1}\end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -p_1\mathbf{i}\\ \vdots\\ -p_{n-1}\mathbf{i}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\mu_1}{\nu}\mathbf{i}, \lambda_2 = \frac{\mu_2}{\nu}\mathbf{i}, ..., \lambda_{n-1} = \frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\nu}\mathbf{i},$$

with $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $\mathbf{i}k + \langle m, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_j \neq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $|m| \geq 2$, the following holds

$$|\mathbf{i}k + \langle m, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_j| = \left|\frac{k\nu + m_1\mu_1 + ... + m_{n-1}\mu_{n-1} - \mu_j}{\nu}\right| \geq \frac{1}{\nu}.$$

In Case (2.14), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} \\ \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & m_{1,1} & ... & m_{1,n-2} \\ p_2 & m_{2,1} & ... & m_{2,n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_{n-1} & m_{n-1,1} & ... & m_{n-1,n-2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,n-1}\lambda_{n-1} \\ m_{2,n-1}\lambda_{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ m_{n-1,n-1}\lambda_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly we have $\lambda_{n-1} \neq 0$. Then for $\mathbf{i}k + \langle m, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_j \neq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $|m| \geq 2$, we also have

$$|\mathbf{i}k + \langle m, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_j| \geq \frac{1}{\mu},$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case 2. $R_\lambda = n$. Recall that $\lambda_n = 0$. There are n linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, saying

$$(0, 0, ..., 1), (p_1, m_{1,1}, ..., m_{1,n}), ..., (p_{n-1}, m_{n-1,1}, ..., m_{n-1,n}), \tag{2.15}$$

such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & ... & 1 \\ p_1 & m_{1,1} & ... & m_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_{n-1} & m_{n-1,1} & ... & m_{n-1,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} \\ \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

From (2.15) we get that the vectors

$$(p_1, m_{1,1}, ..., m_{1,n-1}), ..., (p_{n-1}, m_{n-1,1}, ..., m_{n-1,n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1},$$

are linearly independent. This implies that we can reduce this case to the case $R_\lambda = n - 1$. So, working in a similar way as in the proof of the case $R_\lambda = n - 1$, we can finish the proof of the lemma. □

2.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Recall that system (1.1) near a periodic orbit can be reduced to the periodic normal form system (2.1) near the trivial solution $x = 0$ by an analytic invertible transformation.

In what follows we shall prove the convergence of the normalization which transforms system (2.1) to its distinguish normal form (2.2). By the assumption of the theorem and Lemma 2.3 together with the special form of the transformation (2.3) we get that system (2.2) has $n-1$ functionally independent formal first integrals.

For $w(z) \in \{f_j, \phi_j, g_j\}$ with f_j, ϕ_j and ϕ_j the jth components of F, Φ and G respectively, we expand them in the Taylor series in z

$$w(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,\, |l|=k} w^l(t) z^l,$$

where the coefficients $w^l(t)$ are periodic functions.

For convenience to notations, we define an order in $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$: for $k = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_n), l = (l_1, l_2, ..., l_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, we say $k \succ l$, if either $|k| < |l|$ or $|k| = |l|$ and there is a number $s \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that $k_j = l_j$ for $j \in \{1, 2, ..., s-1\}$ and $k_s < l_s$.

Using the calculations in [4, 13] (see also [27, 28]) and the order defined in the last paragraph, we get from equation (2.5) that $\phi_s^l(t)$ satisfy the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \phi_s^l(t) &+ (\langle l, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_s)\phi_s^l(t) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{n} (1 + l_j)\sigma_j \phi_s^{l-e_{j-1}+e_j}(t) - \sigma_s \phi_{s-1}^l(t) \\ &= [f_s(y + \Phi(y,t))]^l - g_s^l(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k<l, k\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \phi_s^k(t) k_j g_j^{l-k+e_j}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where $[f_s(y + \Phi(y,t))]^l$ is the coefficient of y^l obtained after re–expanding $f_s(y + \Phi(y,t))$ in the power series in y, and $k < l$ means $k - l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, and σ_j are the elements just under the diagonal entries of the matrix A, i.e.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ \sigma_2 & \lambda_2 & ... & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & ... & \sigma_n & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the Jordan normal form A to be lower triangular, we need the complex coordinates instead of the real ones as did in [26].

Further, we expand the periodic functions $\omega_s^l(t)$, $\omega \in \{\phi, g\}$, into Fourier series

$$\omega_s^l(t) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_{s,m}^l e^{\mathbf{i}mt},$$

Substituting these expansions in (2.16) and equating the coefficients of $e^{\mathbf{i}mt}$ give

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{i}m &+ \langle l,\lambda\rangle - \lambda_s)\phi_{s,m}^l \\
&+ \sum_{j=2}^{n}(1+l_j)\sigma_j\phi_s^{l-e_{j-1}+e_j} - \sigma_s\phi_{s-1,m}^l \\
&= [f_s(y+\Phi(y,t))]_{,m}^l - g_{s,m}^l - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k<l}\sum_{m_1+m_2=m}\phi_{s,m_1}^k k_j g_{j,m_2}^{l-k+e_j},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where $[f_s(y+\Phi(y,t))]_{,m}^l$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, are the Fourier coefficients in the Fourier expansion of $[f_s(y+\Phi(y,t))]^l$.

Since we consider the distinguish normal form, by Subsection 2.1, if

$$\mathbf{i}m + \langle l,\lambda\rangle - \lambda_s = 0,$$

equation (2.17) has the solutions

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{s,m}^l &= 0, \\
g_{s,m}^l &= \sum_{j=2}^{n}(1+l_j)\sigma_j\phi_s^{l-e_{j-1}+e_j} - \sigma_s\phi_{s-1,m}^l \\
&\quad - [f_s(y+\Phi(y,t))]_{,m}^l + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k<l}\sum_{m_1+m_2=m}\phi_{s,m_1}^k k_j g_{j,m_2}^{l-k+e_j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

If

$$\mathbf{i}m + \langle l,\lambda\rangle - \lambda_s \neq 0,$$

equation (2.17) with the choice $g_{s,m}^l = 0$ has the solution

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{s,m}^l &= (\mathbf{i}m + \langle l,\lambda\rangle - \lambda_s)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \left(-\sum_{j=2}^{n}(1+l_j)\sigma_j\phi_s^{l-e_{j-1}+e_j} + \sigma_s\phi_{s-1,m}^l \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [f_s(y+\Phi(y,t))]_{,m}^l - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k<l}\sum_{m_1+m_2=m}\phi_{s,m_1}^k k_j g_{j,m_2}^{l-k+e_j} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Summarizing the above calculations, we achieve the distinguished normalization

$$x_s = y_s + \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+, |l|>1, m\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi^l_{s,m} y^l e^{\mathbf{i}mt}, \qquad s = 1, \ldots, n,$$

with $\phi^l_{s,m}$ satisfying (2.19), and the distinguished normal form

$$\dot{y}_s = (\sigma_s y_{s-1} + \lambda_s y_s) + \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+, |l|>1, m\in\mathbb{Z}} g^l_{s,m} y^l e^{\mathbf{i}mt}, \quad s = 1, 2, \ldots, n,$$

with $g^l_{s,m}$ satisfying (2.18).

From Lemma 2.4, there exists a positive number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if

$$\mathbf{i}m + \langle l, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_s \neq 0,\ m \in \mathbb{Z},\ l \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+,\ |l| \geq 2,$$

we have

$$|\mathbf{i}m + \langle l, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_s| > \varepsilon.$$

Next we estimate $\phi^l_{s,m}$ in (2.19). Some calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi^l_{s,m}| \quad &\leq \quad \varepsilon^{-1}\left|[f_s(y + \Phi(y,t))]^l_{,m}\right| + \varepsilon^{-1}\sigma\left|\phi^l_{s-1,m}\right| \\ &\quad + |\mathbf{i}m + \langle l, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_s|^{-1}\sum_{j=2}^{n}(1 + l_j)\sigma_j\left|\phi^{l-e_{j-1}+e_j}_s\right| \\ &\quad + |\mathbf{i}m + \langle l, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_s|^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k<l}\sum_{m_1+m_2=m}\left|\phi^k_{s,m_1} k_j g^{l-k+e_j}_{j,m_2}\right| \qquad (2.20) \\ &:= \quad I + II + III + IV. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Lemma 2.4 or Lemma 1.2, we know that

$$\lambda_1 = \nu_1\mathbf{i},\ \ldots,\ \lambda_{n-1} = \nu_{n-1}\mathbf{i},\ \lambda_n = 0, \quad \nu_j \in \mathbb{Q}$$

So there is a positive number d_1 such that

$$|\mathbf{i}m + \langle l, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_s|^{-1}(1 + l_j) \leq d_1.$$

This shows that

$$III \leq d_1\sigma\sum_{j=2}^{n}|\phi^{l-e_{j-1}+e_j}_s|,$$

where $\sigma = \max\{\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$.

If $g^{l-k+e_j}_{j,m_2} \neq 0$, then $\langle l - k + e_j, \lambda\rangle = \lambda_j$ and $\langle l, \lambda\rangle = \langle k, \lambda\rangle$. Similarly, there is a positive number d_2 such that

$$|\mathbf{i}m + \langle l, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_s|^{-1}|k_j| = |\mathbf{i}m + \langle k, \lambda\rangle - \lambda_s|^{-1}|k_j| \leq d_2.$$

Thus we have

$$IV \le d_2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k<l} \sum_{m_1+m_2=m} |\phi^k_{s,m_1}||g^{l-k+e_j}_{j,m_2}|.$$

Since the function $F(x,t) = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_n)$ is analytic with x in a neighborhood of $x = 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by the Cauchy inequality and [23, Lemma 2.2], there is a set $\mathcal{D} := \{(x,t);\, t \in [0,T], |x_s| \le r, s = 1,2,...,n\}$ such that

$$|[f_s]^k_{,m}| \le M r^{-|k|-|m|}, \qquad M = \max_s \sup_{\partial\mathcal{D}}\{|f_s|\},$$

where $|k| = k_1 + k_2 + ... + k_n$ and $|m|$ represents the absolute value of $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define

$$\widehat{f}(x,t) = M \sum_{\substack{|k|=2 \\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+}}^{\infty} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} r^{-|k|-|m|} x^k e^{\mathbf{i}mt}.$$

This is an analytic function in the interior of $\mathcal{D}$, and is a majorant series of f_s, $s = 1,2,...,n$. In the following, we denote by $\widehat{w}$ the majorant series of a given series w.

Using the estimations on III and IV, we get from (2.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi^l_{s,m}| \;\le\; & \varepsilon^{-1} \left| \left[\widehat{f}(y + \widehat{\Phi}) \right]^l_{,m} \right| + \varepsilon^{-1}\sigma \left| \phi^l_{s-1,m} \right| \\ & + d\sigma \sum_{j=2}^{n} \left| \phi^{l-e_{j-1}+e_j}_s \right| + d \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m_1+m_2=m} \left| (\widehat{\phi}_{s,m_1} \widehat{g}_{j,m_2})^{l+e_j} \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where $d = \max\{d_1, d_2\}$.

Since the coefficients in $\widehat{\phi}_s$ are all positive, the convergence of the series $\sum_{s=1}^{n} \widehat{\phi}_s$ is equivalent to that in the case $y_1 = y_2 = ... = y_n = z$. Set

$$\overline{\phi} = \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \bar{\phi}^p_{,m} z^p e^{\mathbf{i}mt}, \qquad \bar{\phi}^p_{,m} = \sum_{\substack{|l|=p \\ l\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+}} \sum_{s=1}^{n} |\phi^l_{s,m}|$$

Under these notations we get from (2.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}^p_{,m} \;\le\; & \varepsilon^{-1} \left| \left[\widehat{f}(z + \bar{\Phi}) \right]^p_{,m} \right| + \varepsilon^{-1}\sigma \bar{\phi}^p_{,m} \\ & + d\sigma(n-1)\bar{\phi}^p_{,m} + d \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\bar{\phi}\hat{g}_j(z,...,z,t) z^{-1} \right)^p_{,m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\cdot)^p_{,m}$ represents the coefficient of $z^p e^{\mathbf{i}mt}$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(z,t,h) &= h-\varepsilon^{-1}n\widehat{f}(z+h,...,z+h,t)-\varepsilon^{-1}\sigma h\\ &\quad -d\sigma(n-1)h-d\sum_{j=1}^{n}h\hat{g}_j(z,...,z,t)z^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\Gamma(z,t,h)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $\{0\}\times[0,T]\times\{0\}$, and it satisfies

$$\Gamma(0,t,0)=0,\quad \frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial h}(0,t,0)=1-\varepsilon^{-1}\sigma-d\sigma(n-1),\quad t\in[0,T].$$

By the linear algebra, we can take an invertible linear transformation such that the elements σ_j of the matrix A are suitably small and keeping the eigenvalues of A do not change. So we have that σ is suitably small. Under this surgery we get $\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial h}(0,t,0)>0$, $t\in[0,T]$. By the Implicit Function Theorem, it follows that $\Gamma(z,t,h)=0$ has a unique analytic solution $h(z,t)$ defined in a neighborhood of $\{0\}\times[0,T]$. Then we get from [9] or [27] that the distinguished normalization

$$x_s=y_s+\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+,|l|>1,m\in\mathbb{Z}}\phi^l_{s,m}y^l e^{\mathbf{i}mt},\quad s=1,...,n,$$

is convergent in a neighborhood of $\{0\}\times[0,T]$. This proves that system (2.1) is analytically equivalent to its distinguished normal form (2.2). Consequently system (1.1) is analytically equivalent to its distinguished normal form (2.2).

We complete the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgements. The authors sincerely appreciate the referee for his/her careful reading and the nice comments and suggestions, which help us to improve the presentation of this paper.

References

[1] D.V. Anosov and V.I. Arnold, *Dynamical Systems I*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[2] V.I. Arnold, *Geometric Methods in Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, 2nd Ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

[3] G.R. Belitskii and V. Tkachenko, *One-Dimensional Functional Equations*, Birkhäuser, Berlin, 2003.

[4] Yu.N. Bibikov, *Local Theory of Nonlinear Analytic Ordinary Differential Equation*, Lecture Notes in Math, Vol.702, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

[5] G.D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical systems*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1927.

[6] A.D. Bruno, *Local methods in nonlinear differential equations*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
[7] S.N. Chow, Chengzhi Li and Duo Wang, *Normal Forms and Bifurcation of Planar Vector Fields*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
[8] J. Chen, Y. Yi, X. Zhang, *First integrals and normal forms for germs of analytic vector fields*, J. Differential Equations **245** (2008), 1167–1184.
[9] E. Hille, *Ordinary Differential Equations in the Complex Domain*, Wiley, New York, 1976.
[10] J. Guckenheimer and P. Holmes, *Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields*, 2nd Ed., Springer–Verlag, New York, 2002.
[11] Y. Ilyashenko *Divergence of series that reduce an analytic differential equations on a complex projective plane*, Functional Anal. Appl. **18** (1984), no. 3, 199–209.
[12] Y. Ilyashenko and S. Yakovenko, *Lectures on Analytic Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
[13] Weigu Li, *Normal Form Theory and its Applications* (in Chinese), Science Press, Beijing, 2000.
[14] J. Llibre, C. Pantazi and S. Walcher, *First integrals of local analytic differential systems*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), 342–359.
[15] P. Monnier and N.T. Zung, *Normal forms of vector fields on Poisson manifolds*, Ann. Mathématiques Blaise Pascal **13** (2006), 349–380.
[16] H. Poincaré, Sur l'intégration des équations diffrentielles du premier order et du premier degré, I, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **5** (1891), 161–191.
[17] H. Poincaré, Sur l'intégration des équations diffrentielles du premier order et du premier degré, II, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **11** (1897), 193–239.
[18] D. Peralta–Salas, *Period function and normalizers of vector fields in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *with* $n-1$ *first integrals*, J. Differential Equations **244** (2008), 1287–1303.
[19] R. Pérez-Marco, *Total convergence or general divergence in small divisors*, Comm. Math. Phys. **223** (2001), 451–464.
[20] R. Pérez-Marco, *Convergence or generic divergence of the Birkhoff normal form*, Ann. of Math. **157** (2003), 557–574.
[21] F. Takens, *Normal forms for certain singularities of vector fields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **23** (1973), 163–195.
[22] D. Treschev and O. Zubelevich, *Introduction to the perturbation theory of hamiltonian system*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
[23] Kesheng Wu and Xiang Zhang, *The analytic normal form of diffeomorphism on torus and its applications*, preprint.
[24] Kesheng Wu and Xiang Zhang, *The analytic normal form of perturbation flow on torus*, preprint.
[25] S.Yu. Yakovenko, *Smooth normalization of a vector field near a semistable limit cycle*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **43** (1993), 893–903.
[26] Xiang Zhang, *The embedding flows of* C^∞ *hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*, J. Differential Equations **250** (2011), 2283–2298.
[27] Xiang Zhang, *Analytic normalization of analytic integrable systems and the embedding flows*, J. Differential Equations **244** (2008) 1080–1092.
[28] Xiang Zhang, *Analytic integrable systems: Analytic normalization and embedding flows*, J. Differential Equations **254** (2013), 3000–3022.

[29] N.T. Zung, *Convergence versus integrability in Birkhoff normal form*, Ann. of Math. **161** (2005), 141–156.
[30] N.T. Zung, *convergence versus integrability in poincaré-Dulac normal form*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), 217–228.

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China.
E-mail address: E-mail: kshengwu@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, and MOE–LSC, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China.
E-mail address: xzhang@sjtu.edu.cn